

**REMARKS:**

In the Examiners Detailed Action of September 11<sup>th</sup> 2006, the Examiner illuminated the following:

1. The reply filed on 12/08/2003 was not fully responsive as the cancellation of claims 1-11 and the withdrawal of claims 12-27 was improper, because said claims had never been presented for examination in the present application before.

The Examiner continued, stating that the withdrawal of consideration of claims of the parent case #09/621,875 was improper as no Restriction / Election requirement had been made (under 37 CFR 1.11 within the present application.

The applicant erred in supplying all claims and history with regard to the parent patent Serial No.: 09/621,875. To rectify the situation the applicant has supplied a clean copy of all claims as intended to be submitted under the present patent application #10/729,323, being correctly sequenced; beginning with Claim 1.